**Evaluation of ‘s Wor .. Work Product:**

1. Work Evaluated by Assessment Symbol/Color Date
2. Work Evaluated by Assessment Symbol/Color Date
3. Work Evaluated by Assessment Symbol/Color Date

You are to complete the top line & indicate if you did a journal. The grader/instructor will complete this checklist.

| **Yes** | **No** | **Self Regulation Issues (completed by instructor &/or grader)** |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | 1. The assignment was submitted on time (if No, instructor initial under No) |
|  |  | 1. The assignment and checklist were stapled in the **correct** order |
|  |  | 1. The top line of the checklist was completed correctly |
|  |  | 1. The heading was completed correctly on the first page of the assignment. |
|  |  | 1. The work shows evidence that step 1 of the assignment is completely done. |
|  |  | 1. The work shows evidence that step 2 (Boggle method and Affinity Process) of the assignment is completely done. |
|  |  | 1. The work shows evidence that the team met and generated the operational statements correctly. |
|  |  | 1. There are attachment show the developed process the Team Norms. |

| **Wow** | **Ok** | **Weak** | **Revealed Features** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Rate the quality of the Presentation Sandwich structure |
|  |  |  | Rate the quality of the work (breadth and depth of material content, organization, etc.). The assessment is based on the submitted materials; step1, 2 and 3) |
| **Yes** | **Exciting Features** | | |
|  |  | | |

**Results of Assessment**

| **E** | **M** | **NI** | **NS NCE** | **SR-Lapse** | **E**, no No’s or Weak’s and at least one Wow or Exciting Feature  **M**, no No’s and at most 1 Weak  **NI**, any No and/or two Weak  **NCE**, what was submitted does not reflect a credible effort  **NS**, no tutorial materials were submitted |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |